IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

EUGENE DIVISION

HENRY	EDWARD	BLAIR,)			
		Petitioner,)	~ 1 1 T	N Y -	10 61 m
)	CIVII	NO.	10-615-TC
	•)			
v .)			
)	ORDER		
STATE	OF OREC	GON,)			
)			
		Respondent.)			

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on November 18, 2011, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a <u>de novo</u> determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1);

McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Petitioner has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given <u>de novo</u> review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed November 18, 2011, in its entirety. Petitioner's petition (#1) is denied without prejudice. This proceeding is dismissed. The clerk of court shall enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 1214/day of 43

2012.